CODE REVISER USE ONLY

PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 23, 2024 TIME: 9:11 AM

WSR 24-21-162

Agency: Board of Tax Appeals					
☑ Original Notice					
Supplemental Noti	ce to WSR				
□ Continuance of WSR					
☑ Preproposal Stater	ment of Ing	uiry was filed as WSR 24	-15-136	AND24-15-135 ; or	
	-	osed notice was filed as			
-		W 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.3			
□ Proposal is exemp					
		g information: (describe s	ubject) \	VAC	
456-09-230 Ex parte co			. ,		
	ing evidence	e, briefs, replies, witness li	sts, stipu	lations, and documentary evidence,	
456-09-555 Motions,					
		nuances, and extensions o	f time,		
456-09-743 Hearing pr		paring on the record			
456-09-745 Failure to a 456-10-110 Definitions		leaning on the record,			
456-10-230 Ex parte co	,	nc			
456-10-310 Contents of					
456-10-365 Limits on e					
456-10-505 Time for filing evidence, briefs, replies, and documentary evidence,					
456-10-510 Motions,					
		nuances, and extensions o	f time,		
456-10-540 Hearing pr					
456-10-550 failure to a	ttend and he	earings on the record.			
Hearing location(s):					
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:	
December 2, 2024	1:30 p.m.	Electronic Meeting via Te information on agency we			
Date of intended ado	ption: Dece			NOT the effective date)	
Submit written comm				ance for persons with disabilities:	
Name Keri Lamb				Contact Keri Lamb	
Address PO BOX 409	15 Olvmpia.	WA 98504		Phone 360-753-5446	
Email bta@bta.wa.gov				60-586-9020	
Fax 360-586-9020			TTY		
Other				bta@bta.wa.gov	
Beginning (date and	time) Octo	ober 23, 2024	Other		
By (date and time) November 15, 2024			By (da	te) <u>November 15, 2024</u>	
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:					
456-09-230 – Clarification regarding contacting administrative staff or the Executive Director					
456-09-550 – Remove requirement for prior approval for reply briefs					
456-09-555 – Remover requirement for proposed order and allow provisions for faster responses to emergency motions					
456-09-560 – Remove language regarding party's first request for continuance. 456-09-743 – Add language to clarify procedure when the respondent has the burden of proof.					
456-09-745 – Add language to clarify procedure when the respondent has the burden of proof. 456-09-745 – Section (1) change party to appellant.					
			n. to cont	orm with other established deadlines.	
		ng contacting administrative			

 456-10-310 – Add name of representative as required in subsection (b). 456-10-365 – Remove requirement to specify pages of the Board of Equalization record. 456-10-505 – Clarify that the prehearing order controls where issued. 456-10-510 – Allow provisions for faster response timeframe for responses to emergency motions. 456-10-515 – Remove language regarding a party's first request for continuance. 456-10-540 – Add language to clarify procedure when the respondent has the burden of proof. 456-10-550 – Section (1) change party to appellant. 							
Reasons supporting proposal: See above							
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 82.03 090 Statute being implemented: RCW 35.05; 82.03.							
Is rule necessary because of a:							
Federal Lav	🗆 Yes 🛛 No						
	urt Decision?		□ Yes ⊠ No				
State Court	Decision?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
If yes, CITATION:							
matters: The Boa	rd itself has drafted the prop	any, as to statutory language, implementa osed changes, and therefore recommends th					
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Board of Tax Appeals Type of proponent: Private. Public. Governmental.							
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:						
	Name	Office Location	Phone				
Drafting	Keri Lamb	Board of Tax Appeals	360-753-5446				
Implementation	Board of Tax Appeals	Board of Tax Appeals	360-753-5446				
Enforcement	Board of Tax Appeals	Board of Tax Appeals	360-753-5446				
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u> ? □ Yes ⊠ No If yes, insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other Is a cost-benefit analysis required under <u>RCW 34.05.328</u> ?							
🗆 Yes: A pre	eliminary cost-benefit analysis	s may be obtained by contacting:					
Name							
	Address						
Phone Fax							
TTY							
Email							
Other							
☑ No: Please explain: These are procedural rules regarding agency hearings.							
Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement Note: The <u>Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA)</u> provides support in completing this part.							
(1) Identification of exemptions: This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <u>chapter 19.85 RCW</u>). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide published by ORIA</u> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by <u>RCW 34.05.313</u> before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of <u>RCW 15.65.570(2)</u> because it was adopted by a referendum.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.025(3)</u>. Check all that apply:

	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)	
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)	
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(c)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)	
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)	
\boxtimes	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)	\boxtimes	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)	
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process	
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)	
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.025(</u> 4). (Does not affect small businesses).				

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW _____

Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule:

(2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.

☑ The rule proposal: Is fully exempt. (*Skip section 3.*) Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.
 □ The rule proposal: Is partially exempt. (*Complete section 3.*) The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):

□ The rule proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.) No exemptions were identified above.

(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.

If any portion of the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

 \Box No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. <u>.</u>

□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name	
Address	
Phone	
Fax	
TTY	
Email	
Other	
	Signature:
Date: October 23, 2024	
Name: Claire Hesselholt	
Title: Chair of the Board	afrag