PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: April 06, 2022 TIME: 10:12 AM

WSR 22-08-106

Agency: BOARD OF TAX APPEALS							
□ Original Notice							
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR							
⊠ Continuance of WSR <u>21-22-023</u>							
⊠ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR ; or							
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or							
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or							
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW							
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC ch. 456-10 INFORMAL HEARINGS-PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE							
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:				
Friday May 13, 2022	10:00	Electronic Meeting via Teams					
	AM	information on agency website					
		20, 2022 (Note: This is NOT the e	ffective date)				
Submit written comments to:							
Name: Keri Lamb							
Address: 360-586-9020							
Email: bta@bta.wa.gov	/						
Fax: 360-586-9020							
Other: By (date) Two weeks before hearing							
• ()							
Assistance for person	ns with disa	idilities:					
Contact Keri Lamb							
Phone: (360) 753-5446							
Fax: 360-586-9020 TTY: 360-753-5446							
Email: bta@bta.wa.gov Other:							
By (date) Two weeks before hearing]							
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The purpose of these							
changes is to clarify statutory language, simplify the calculation of deadlines, limit submissions, and streamline the informal hearings process.							

Reasons supporting proposal: See above						
Statutory author	ity for adoption: RCW 82.0	3.170				
Otatuta Labara la		A O 4 O 0 O				
Statute being im	plemented: RCW 34.05; W	AC 10-08				
Is rule necessary	y because of a:					
Federal Lav	□ Yes ⊠ No					
Federal Co	☐ Yes ⊠ No					
State Court If yes, CITATION:	□ Yes ⊠ No					
		any, as to statutory language, implementati	on, enforcement, and fiscal			
matters: The Boa	ard itself has drafted the prop	posed changes, and therefore recommends their				
and enforces its o	iwn rules, so the proposed c	hanges have no fiscal impact.				
Name of propone	ent: (person or organization)) Washington State Board of Tax Appeals	□ Private			
			☐ Public☑ Governmental			
Name of agency	personnel responsible for		2 Covernmental			
	Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting:	Andrea Vingo	Board of Tax Appeals	(360) 753-5446			
Implementation:	Board of Tax Appeals	Board of Tax Appeals	(360) 753-5446			
Enforcement:	Board of Tax Appeals	Board of Tax Appeals	(360) 753-5446			
Is a school distri		required under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes ⊠ No			
ii yes, insert state	ment here.					
	y obtain a copy of the schoo	I district fiscal impact statement by contacting:				
Name: Address						
Phone:	.					
Fax:						
TTY:						
Email:						
Other:						
	analysis required under R					
☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name:						
Address	s:					
Phone:						
Fax:						
TTY:						
Email:						
Other:	oo ovoloin. Changas harr	a figual impact				

Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a	Small Busin	ess Economic Impact Statement:
	oposal, or portions of the proposal, may be 85 RCW). Please check the box for any app		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see ption(s):
adopted so regulation t adopted. Citation and □ This rul	lely to conform and/or comply with federal st his rule is being adopted to conform or comp d description: e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex	tatute or reguloly with, and of	2CW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not ethe agency has completed the pilot rule process
•	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this		
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exi a referendum.	empt under ti	ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex	empt under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
Ш	(Internal government operations)	Ш	(Dictated by statute)
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
Ш	(Incorporation by reference)	Ш	(Set or adjust fees)
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process
	(Correct of Clarify language)		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exp of exemptions, if necessary:	empt under F	RCW
	COMPLETE THIS SECTION	ON ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES
f the propo			costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?
☐ Yes	administrative process	imposes mor	costs were calculated. No substantive changes in the e-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business
	public may obtain a copy of the small busine acting:	ess economic	impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by
A P F T	ame: ddress: hone: ax: TY: mail:		
С	ther:		
		Signat	ure:
Date: April	6, 2022		
Name: Andrea Vingo			chiller
Title: Review Officer, Board of Tax Appeals			ANDREA VINGO, Review Officer